

Home MyOTIS News & Events Library Tools

You are currently logged in as Joy Han at 11:51 AM.

1/26/2017 11:51:55 AM



[Help Desk] · Monday - Friday 7am - 5pm · Phone: 916.322.1830

Consumer Participation Program

lcome	Participants	Petitions to Participate	Applications for an Award		
				Back to Awar	<u>d List</u>
Awa	rd Applicatio	on Details: Health Ac	cess of California		
				3	
Part	icipant Details P	articipant's Eligibility Apps	Participant's Petition Apps	Participant's Award Apps	
a data menangan da	Application Versi	on: Original App 🗸		Back to Participant's Awa	rd List
-	Please review and	approve this Application for a	n Award and Witness Fees if a	pplicable.	
	Approvals & Con	ments DMHC Attachmen	ts Send Email		1
	Entity Name:	Health Access of Califor	nia		
	Submitted By: Date Submitted:	Tam Ma 12/3/2016 12:38:10 PM			
	Status:	Pending V			
	Date of Decision:				
	DMHC Comment:	(8000 characters remain			
			~		
		L			
	Updated By:				
	Updated Date:				
		1			
		Save			
	Decisions & Comm	ent History (Hide	Details)		图
	1		1990-1990-1997 - 1997 -		
	Ther	e are currently no decisions o	or comment history.		0
	L				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
5					
	Award Application	(Hide	Details)		(*)
				Delatar Format	2
1.111.000	1. For which p	proceeding are you seeking com	nensation?	Printer Format	
	Provention of the local data		an a		100
		dividual market rate filing			a set
	2. What is the	amount requested?			
	\$850.00				
1					1000
10000	3. Proceeding	Contribution:			100

ŧ

	(8000 characters remaining) Health Access California submits this request for reasonable advocacy fees for our substantial contribution to the decision of the Department of Managed Health Care (DMHC) regarding Kaiser's individual market rate filing for 2017. Health Access substantially contributed to DMHC's review of Anthem's proposed rates by submitting written comments on September 22, 2016. Our letter raised questions and concerns about Kaiser's rate filing and its justification for its proposed rates. In particular: Kaiser Permanente: • Enrollee cost sharing to failed to describe those differences in cost sharing. Kaiser also failed to describe cost sharing for non-mirrored off-exchange products. • Cost/quality improvements but failed to note those contractually required by Covered California. • Geographic differences in rates, and the reasons for such differences, not detailed or described despite manifest regional differences in costs. In our letter, we asked DMHC to seek additional information form Kaiser to support the assertions made in Kaiser's rate filings. Our analysis and comments substantially contributed to DMHC's review of these rate filings. • Please attach your time and billing record in the "Add Attachment" box below. In the time and billing record in these rate filings. • Please attach your time and billing record in the "add Attachment" box below. In the time and exact amount of time spent on each specific task in thirty (30) minute increments, as defined in California Code or Aeguilations, Title 22, Section 1010(d)(3). Document Name <u>Date Uploaded Tupicate and Tupicate By Yuew</u> <u>Biography and Billing</u> 12/3/2016 12:35:54 PM <u>Tam Ma</u> <u>Yuew</u> <u>Hing Record</u> <u>12/3/2016 12:35:40 PM Tam Ma</u> <u>Yuew</u> <u>Hing Record</u> and Billing 12/3/2016 12:37:42 PM Tam Ma • Char and concise statement of partic					
	Document Name	Date Uploaded	Uploaded By	<u> </u>		
	Time Record	12/3/2016 12:35:54 PM	Tam Ma	View		
	Biography and Billing Classification	12/3/2016 12:36:40 PM	Tam Ma	View		
	Written comments: Kaiser individual market rate riling	12/3/2016 12:37:42 PM	Tam Ma	View		
	needed to represent the interests of consumers Health Access California sponsored the original legislation enabling rate review as well as the legislation on consumer participation program. California consumers have saved hundreds of millions of dollars in reduced premiums in the individual market as a result of rate review. Our participation in this proceeding helps DMHC to more effectively review proosed rates, which may result in even greater savings for consumers. The information contained in the Petition to Participate remains true and correct to the best of the knowledge of the person verifying the information.					
6.	the legislation on consumer hundreds of millions of dolla rate review. Our participatic proosed rates, which may re The information contained in th	participation program. C ars in reduced premiums on in this proceeding help esult in even greater savi e Petition to Participate rem	alifornia consumers have in the individual market is DMHC to more effectiv ngs for consumers.	e saved as a result of rely review		
6.	the legislation on consumer hundreds of millions of dolla rate review. Our participatio proosed rates, which may re The information contained in the knowledge of the person verifying	participation program. C ars in reduced premiums on in this proceeding help esult in even greater savi e Petition to Participate rem	alifornia consumers have in the individual market is DMHC to more effectiv ngs for consumers.	e saved as a result of rely review		
6.	the legislation on consumer hundreds of millions of dolla rate review. Our participatic proosed rates, which may re The information contained in th	participation program. C ars in reduced premiums on in this proceeding help esult in even greater savi e Petition to Participate rem	alifornia consumers have in the individual market is DMHC to more effectiv ngs for consumers.	e saved as a result of rely review		
6. I I a un do	the legislation on consumer hundreds of millions of dolla rate review. Our participatio proosed rates, which may re The information contained in the knowledge of the person verifying	participation program. C ars in reduced premiums on in this proceeding help esult in even greater savi e Petition to Participate rem ing the information.	alifornia consumers have in the individual market is DMHC to more effectiv ngs for consumers, nains true and correct to the policant. By entering my nar ornia that the foregoing stat this declaration was execut	e saved as a result of rely review he best of the me below, I certify atements within all	and a second secon	
6. 1 I a Unit D En	the legislation on consumer hundreds of millions of dolla rate review. Our participatic proosed rates, which may re The information contained in th knowledge of the person verifyi Yes ma authorized to certify this do der penalty of perjury under th cuments filed electronically are <u>Sacramento</u> (City), <u>CA</u> ter Name: <u>Tam M. Ma</u> Info at Time of	participation program. C ars in reduced premiums on in this proceeding help esult in even greater savi e Petition to Participate rem ing the information.	alifornia consumers have in the individual market is DMHC to more effectiv ngs for consumers, nains true and correct to the policant. By entering my nar ornia that the foregoing stat this declaration was execut	e saved as a result of rely review he best of the me below, I certify atements within all		
6. 1 I a United En	the legislation on consumer hundreds of millions of dolla rate review. Our participatic proosed rates, which may re The information contained in th knowledge of the person verifyi Yes ma authorized to certify this do der penalty of perjury under th cuments filed electronically are <u>Sacramento</u> (City), <u>CA</u> ter Name: <u>Tam M. Ma</u> Info at Time of	participation program. C ars in reduced premiums on in this proceeding help esult in even greater savi e Petition to Participate rem ing the information.	alifornia consumers have in the individual market is DMHC to more effectiv ngs for consumers, nains true and correct to the policant. By entering my nar ornia that the foregoing stat this declaration was execut	e saved as a result of rely review he best of the me below, I certify atements within all	A works we are a set of the set o	
6. 1 I a United En	the legislation on consumer hundreds of millions of dolla rate review. Our participatio proosed rates, which may re The information contained in th knowledge of the person verifying Yes ma authorized to certify this do ider penalty of perjury under th cuments filed electronically are Sacramento (City), <u>CA</u> ter Name: <u>Tam M. Ma</u> Info at Time of Ion count Information	participation program. C ars in reduced premiums on in this proceeding help esult in even greater savi e Petition to Participate rem ing the information. cument on behalf of the app re laws of the State of Califo true and correct and that to (State), on <u>December i</u> (Hide Details)	alifornia consumers have in the individual market is DMHC to more effectiv ngs for consumers. nains true and correct to the policant. By entering my nar ornia that the foregoing sta this declaration was execut 03, 2016.	e saved as a result of rely review he best of the me below, I certify atements within all		
6. 1 I a United En	the legislation on consumer hundreds of millions of dolla rate review. Our participatio proosed rates, which may re The information contained in th knowledge of the person verifying Yes ma authorized to certify this dou- der penalty of perjury under th cuments filed electronically are Sacramento (City), <u>CA</u> ter Name: <u>Tam M. Ma</u> Info at Time of ion	participation program. C ars in reduced premiums i on in this proceeding help esult in even greater savi e Petition to Participate rem ing the information. current on behalf of the app is laws of the State of Califo true and correct and that t (State), on	alifornia consumers have in the individual market is DMHC to more effectiv ngs for consumers, nains true and correct to the policant. By entering my nar ornia that the foregoing stat this declaration was execut	e saved as a result of rely review he best of the me below, I certify atements within all	Elements	

Consumer Participation Program

	Address: ization Phone Nur	nber:	tma@health-access.org (916) 497 - 0923 ext. 201
			18 280 L
	cal Address		
Physic Suite:	al Address:		1127 - 11th Street 234
City:			Sacramento
State:			CA
Zip/Po	ostal Code:		95814
rganizati	on Information		
Organi	zation Name:		Health Access California
	a nonprofit organ		Yes
Organi	what Statute is y zation Incorporat		Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation Law for publ charitable purposes
	zation's Size:		\$125,000.00
Descrip	zation's Structure ption of the Organ al Purposes:		501 c 4 HEALTH ACCESS CALIFORNIA is the statewide health care consumer advocacy coalition, advocating for the goal of quality, affordable health care for all Californians.
Irganizati	on's Governing	Body	
1.	Director	Aaron	Fox
2.	Director	Art	Pulaski
3.	Director	Betsy	Imholz
4.	Director	Cary	Sanders
5.	Director	Christina	Livingston
6.	Director	Emily	Rusch
7.	Director	Henry	Lacayo
8.	Director	Horace	Williams
9.	Director	Joan	Pirkle Smith
10.	Director	Jon	Youngdahl
11.	Director	Joshua	Pechthalt
12.	Director	Kathy Ko	Chin
13.	Director	Lori	Easterling
14.	Director	Nancy	Brasmer
15.	Director	Paul	Knepprath
16.	Director	Reshma	Shamasundra
17.	Director	Rick	Schlosser
18,	Director	Roma	Guy
19.	Director	Sonya	Young
20.	Director	Stewart	Ferry
21.	Director	Ted	Lempert
22.	Director	Thomas	Saenz
23.	Director	Vanessa	Aramayo
24.	Director	Willie	Pelote
27,	Sheetor	Wine	, close
raanizati	ion's Officers		
Aantsati	on's onicers		

Consumer Participation Program

Page 4	of	4
--------	----	---

Contact Info at Time of Submission	(Hide Details)	[A]
First Name:	Tam	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Last Name:	Ма	
Email Address:	tma@health-access.org	
Telephone Numbe	r: (916) 497 - 0923 ext. 201	
Status:	Active	

🗆 Jose Tapia	
Silvia Flores	
🗌 Tam Ma	
Rick Pavich	
🗌 Robin Avant	

Time Record

Proceeding: Kaiser individual market rate filing Organization: Health Access California Advocate: Beth Capell

Date	Work Performed	Hours	Hourly	Total
			Rate	
9/22/16	Analysis of Kaiser individual market rate filing	1	\$425	\$425.00
9/22/16	Draft comments on Kaiser individual market rate filing	1	\$425	425.00
	TOTAL	2		\$850.00

September 22, 2016

Wayne Thomas, Chief Actuary Division of Premium Rate Review Department of Managed Health Care 980 9th St., Ste. 500 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Health Access California Comments on Kaiser Permanente Non-Grandfathered 2017 Rate Filing:KHPI-130516678

Dear Mr. Thomas,

Health Access California, the statewide health care consumer advocacy coalition, committed to quality, affordable health care for all Californians, offers these comments on the rate filing by Kaiser Permanente for the 2017 on-exchange and off-exchange individual market products.

We are not aware of comments on this rate filing submitted by any other organization at this time.

Changes in Enrollee Cost Sharing

Kaiser Permanente in the numerous documents and spreadsheets mentions the changes in enrollee cost sharing required as a result of changes in actuarial value but nowhere does Kaiser specify by product what those changes are. In contrast, Blue Shield has a table in a separate spreadsheet which describes the changes in cost sharing by benefit category for each product. This seems a modest standard to which all plans should be held.

In addition, Kaiser does not in any element of the filing that we could find actually describe the cost sharing for non-standard benefit designs. We appreciate that Kaiser clearly lists the three non-standard benefit designs but the actual cost sharing by benefit category (e.g. hospital, primary care visit, specialist, generic drugs, brand name drugs, etc.) should also be described.

We ask that Kaiser be required to provide this information.

Cost Containment and Quality Improvement

Kaiser is to be commended for including several pages of prose describing various elements of its efforts to contain costs and improve quality. In contrast, Anthem Blue Cross included a sentence or two while Blue Shield of California was lamentably silent.

Yet, Kaiser Permanente, like the other contracting Qualified Health Plans, has agreed to Attachments 7 and 14 of the Covered California model contract (or at least we think they did). These contract attachments require a long list of specific efforts to contain costs, improve quality and improve health equity. Some of these requirements overlap with those Kaiser listed but others are in addition to what Kaiser included in its rate filing.

We ask that the Department require Kaiser Permanente to file Attachments 7 and 14 of the Covered California QHP contract as public documents so that progress toward compliance may be monitored.

Comparison of Claims Costs and Rate Changes over Time: Question 20

Finally, we note that Kaiser's description of its risk mix is commendable in its relative clarity and specificity: in that description we can trace the impact of the changes in the market post-ACA as enrollment in the individual market expanded. Or perhaps it is only that the rate filings of its competitors are so utterly lacking in any justification, data, or explanation that Kaiser shines by comparison.

We are disappointed that even though Kaiser plainly has different costs in Northern California than Southern, it fails to distinguish costs trends at even this aggregate regional level. We also find it difficult to believe that Kaiser Foundation Health Plan is unable to distinguish the costs of the Permanente Medical Group from Kaiser hospitals, two entities which are separate from and yet integrated with the health plan. Certainly in materials provided to other purchasers, including large purchasers, more detail is provided about costs is provided.

We ask that the Department query Kaiser about whether it can produce more detail about hospital costs as compared to medical group costs and also provide some glimpse into the differences in costs between Northern and Southern California. Rates are certainly different in different regions for individuals of the same age: we assume this reflects differences in underlying cost structures, not some arbitrary application of rate methodology.

Sincerely,

Anthony Wright Executive Director